City of La Cañada Flintridge Environmental Checklist Page 1 of 9

Case No.:

Potentially Less than No Significant Significant Impact Unless Impact Potentially Significant Impact

Mitigation Incorporated

CITY OF LA CAÑADA FLINTRIDGE **ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST**

1. AESTHETICS. Would the proposal:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not				
limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings				
within a state scenic highway?				
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality				
of the site and its surroundings?				
<u> </u>				
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would				
adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				
adversely affect day of higherine views in the area:				
2. AIR QUALITY. (The significance criteria established by the S	outh Coas	st Air O	ıality	
Management District shall be relied upon to make the following of		_	-	
project:	icteriiiia	110115.) W	ould the	
project.				
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air				
quality plan?				
1) 17: 1-4				
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to				
an existing or projected air quality violation?				
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any				
criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment				
under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard				
(including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative				
thresholds for ozone precursors)?				
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant				
concentrations?				
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of				
people?				
* *				
				· ·
3. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the proposal result in im	pacts to:			
The state of the s				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through				
a j mare a substantial adverse effect, effici directly of fillough	1			

City of La Cañada Flintridge Environmental Checklist Page 2 of 9

Potentially Significant Impact

Potentially Less than No Significant Significant Impact Unless Impact Mitigation

Case No.:	Incorporated
habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?	
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	
•	
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	

4. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the proposal:		
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Guidelines Section 15064.5?		
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Guidelines Section 15064.5?		
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?		
	·	
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside		

City of La Cañada Flintridge Environmental Checklist Page 3 of 9

Case No.:

Potentially Potentially Less than No Significant Significant Significant Impact Unless Impact Mitigation Incorporated

of formal cemeteries?

5. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:				
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effinjury, or death involving:	ects, incl	uding the	risk of lo	oss,
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?				
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				
iv) Landslides?				
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				
6. HAZARDS and HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the pro	posal inv	olve:		
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the				

City of La Cañada Flintridge Environmental Checklist Page 4 of 9

Case No.:

environment?			
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter			
mile of an existing or proposed school?			
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to			
the public or the environment?			
e) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation			
plan?			
f) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are			
adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?			
WILLI WILLIAMS;	1	1	

7. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the proposal result in:				
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				
	1	1		
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?				

City of La Cañada Flintridge Environmental Checklist Page 5 of 9

10. NOISE. Would the proposal result in:

Case No.:

Potentially Potentially Less than No Significant Significant Significant Impact Unless Impact

Mitigation Incorporated

Cuse 110	meorporated		
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?			
	T	T 1	
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?			
	T	T 1	
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?			
b) Place within a 100 year fleed hazard area structures which			
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?			
would impede of fedirect flood flows?			
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?			
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?			
8. LAND USE AND PLANNING. Would the proposal:			
) DI : 11 1: 1			
a) Physically divide an established community?			
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or			
regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project			
(including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan,			
local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the			
purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?			
O MONTH AT DECOMPOSES W. 11.1			
9. MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the proposal:			
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource			
that would be of value to the region and the residents of the			
state?			
		П	
	l l		
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important			
mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general			

City of La Cañada Flintridge Environmental Checklist Page 6 of 9

Case No.:

Potentially Potentially Less than No Significant Significant Significant Impact Impact Unless Impact Mitigation

Incorporated

a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess			
of standards established in the local general plan or noise			
ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?			
oraniance, or approacte bandanas or other agenetes.	l		
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground			
borne vibration or ground borne noise levels?			
borne vibration of ground borne noise levels:			
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in			
the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?			
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise			
levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the			
1 2 2			
project?			
	T	1	1
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where			
such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public			
airport or public use airport, would the project expose people			
residing or working in the project area to excessive noise			
levels?			
	<u> </u>		
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would			
the project expose people residing or working in the project			
area to excessive noise levels?			

11. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the proposal:		
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?		
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?		
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?		

12. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant

City of La Cañada Flintridge Environmental Checklist Page 7 of 9

Case No.:

Potentially Potentially Less than Significant Impact Unless

Mitigation Incorporated

Impact

Significant Significant Impact

environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ra	tios, resp	onse time	es or othe	r
performance objectives for any of the public services:				
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	l	1		1
a) Fire protection?				
		1		1
b) Police protection?				
c) Schools?				
C) Benoois.				Į
d) Parks?				
d) Tarks!	1	1		
	1			
e) Other public facilities?				
13. RECREATION. Would the proposal:				
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood				
and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that				
substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or				
be accelerated?				
	_			
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the				
construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might				
have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
have an adverse physical effect on the environment:	<u> </u>			
44 mp + Napap = 1 m × 2 × / mp + m × 2 × × 11 · 1				
14. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the proposal result	<u>ın:</u>			
				,
a) Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to				
the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e.,				
result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle				
trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at				
intersections)?				
				ı
b) Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service				
standard established by the county congestion management				
agency for designated roads or highways?				
againty for accignment round of ingliftings.		<u> </u>		ı
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an				
increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in				
substantial safety risks?	<u> </u>			
	1	, · · · · · · · ·		T
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g.,				
sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses				

City of La Cañada Flintridge
Environmental Checklist
Page 8 of 9

Case No.:

Potentially Potentially Significant Significant Impact
Unless Impact
Mitigation
Incorporated

Less than No
Impact
Villess Impact
Mitigation
Incorporated

(e.g., farm equipment)?			
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?			
• • •			
f) Result in inadequate parking capacity?			
g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting			
alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?			
	•		

15. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project:	
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	
•	
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	
1	
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	

16. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.		
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of		

City of La Cañada Flintridge Environmental Checklist Page 9 of 9 Case No.:	Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Significant Impact	No Impact
the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?				
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				

Source List

The following enumerated documents are available for review at the offices of the City of La Cañada Flintridge, Community Development Department, 1327 Foothill Boulevard, La Cañada Flintridge, CA 91011-2137.

- City of La Canada Flintridge Environmental Impact Report for the General Plan Land 1. Use and Housing Elements
- City of La Canada Flintridge General Plan 2.

- 3. Final Program EIR for the Downtown Village Specific Plan
- (Add project specific studies to this list and edit this list as appropriate.) 4.